	Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/803,980	803,980 HARA ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Thanh-Tam T.	Le	2839	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):				
(1) <u>Thanh-Tam T. Le</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>B. Hannon</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 01 February 2005.				-6
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>None</u> .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed and agreed with Mr. Hannon upon the changes to clarify the claimed invention</u> .				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the ame	ne examiner agr endments that w	reed would rende ould render the (er the claims claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	